Cabinet



Title of Report:	Pension Discretions Policy			
Report No:	CAB/SE/15/010			
Report to and dates:	Cabine	t	10 February 2015	
uutesi	Council	1	24 February 2015	
Portfolio holder:	David Ray Portfolio Holder for Resources and Performance Tel: 01359 250912 Email: david.ray@stedsbc.gov.uk			
Lead officer:	Karen Points Head of HR, Legal and Democratic Services Tel: 01284 757015 Email: karen.points@westsuffolk.gov.uk			
Purpose of report:	The Local Government Pension Scheme (LGPS) changed to a career average ('CARE' – career average revalued earnings) scheme in April 2014. The new pension regulations gave employers a number of discretionary options. We are required to agree and publish our decisions in relation to these discretions in a written Statement of Policy that has to be agreed by Full Council.			
Recommendation:	It is <u>RECOMMENDED</u> , that subject to the approval of full Council, the Pension Discretions Policy, as contained in Appendix A to Report No: CAB/SE/15/010, be approved.			
Key Decision: (Check the appropriate box and delete all those that do not apply.)	Is this a Key Decision and, if so, under which definition? Yes, it is a Key Decision - □ No, it is not a Key Decision - ⊠ Full Council decision			
Consultation:	ı	• Leadership	Team, and Unison	
Alternative option(s):		A written policy is a statutory requirement but there are alternative options in relation to each discretion		

Implications:				
Are there any fina l If yes, please give		Yes ⊠ No □ • As detailed in the Policy and		
Are there any staf If yes, please give		Summary of Recommendations Yes ⊠ No □ • As detailed in the Policy and Summary of Recommendations		
Are there any ICT yes, please give de		Yes □ No ⊠ •		
Are there any lega implications? If yes details		Yes ⊠ No □ • Written Statement of Policy is a statutory requirement; as is approval by Full Council		
Are there any equa If yes, please give		Yes □ No ⊠ •		
Risk/opportunity assessment:		(potential hazards or opportunities affecting corporate, service or project objectives)		
Risk area	Inherent level of risk (before controls)	Controls	Residual risk (after controls)	
Lack of written policy breaches statutory requirements	Medium	Approval of Policy	Risk removed	
Dispute over the application of discretions	Medium	Discretions clear	Low	
Ward(s) affected	:	None directly		
Background papers: (all background papers are to be published on the website and a link included)		None		
Documents attached:		Appendix A: Pension Discretion Policy Appendix B: Summary of Recommendations		